Continuous Galerkin methods for solving electromagnetic eigenvalue problems

Annalisa Buffa, Patrick Ciarlet, Erell Jamelot

Patrick.Ciarlet@ensta.fr

POEMS, UMR 2706 CNRS-ENSTA-INRIA, Paris, France



MAFELAP'06 (Jun. 06) – p. 1/13

In a bounded domain Ω . Find $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}, \omega)$ such that

 $\begin{cases} \iota \omega \mathcal{E} - c^2 \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{B} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \iota \omega \mathcal{B} + \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{E} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \operatorname{div} \mathcal{E} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \mathcal{E} \times \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega ;\\ \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}$

 $\int \partial \Omega$ is the boundary, ${f n}$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega.$

Goal: compute the EM eigenmodes in a resonator cavity, bounded by a perfect conductor, either polyhedral (3D) or polygonal (2D).



One of the two fields can be eliminated...

D Equivalent system: Find (\mathcal{E}, ω) such that

$$(PE) \begin{cases} c^2 \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{E} = \omega^2 \mathcal{E} \text{ in } \Omega; \\ \operatorname{div} \mathcal{E} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega; \\ \mathcal{E} \times \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Which *functional space* to measure the electric field?

First choice:

 $\mathcal{H}_0(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}},\Omega) := \{ \mathcal{F} \in L^2(\Omega)^3 \, | \, \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \mathcal{F} \in L^2(\Omega)^3, \, \mathcal{F} \times \mathbf{n}_{|\partial\Omega} = 0 \} \, .$ cf. [Kikuchi'87/'89], [Demkowicz et al'9x], [Boffi et al'9x/'0x]...



One of the two fields can be eliminated...

D Equivalent system: Find (\mathcal{E}, ω) such that

$$(PE) \begin{cases} c^2 \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{E} = \omega^2 \mathcal{E} \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \operatorname{div} \mathcal{E} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \mathcal{E} \times \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Which functional space to measure the electric field?

Second choice:

$$\mathcal{X} := \{ \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}_0(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega) \, | \, \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F} \in L^2(\Omega) \}.$$

Ok in a convex domain Ω .

cf. [Assous-Degond-Heintzé-Raviart-Segré'93].

OK in a 2D or 2D1/2 non-convex domain Ω (Singular Complement Method).

cf. [Assous-Jr et al'98/'00/'03], [Bonnet-Hazard-Lohrengel'99/'02]...



One of the two fields can be eliminated...

D Equivalent system: Find (\mathcal{E}, ω) such that

$$(PE) \begin{cases} c^2 \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{E} = \omega^2 \mathcal{E} \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \operatorname{div} \mathcal{E} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \mathcal{E} \times \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Which functional space to measure the electric field?

Third choice:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{X}_{\gamma} &:= \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}},\Omega) \, | \operatorname{div} \, \mathcal{F} \in L^{2}_{\gamma}(\Omega) \} \, .\\ \left(\begin{array}{c} L^{2}_{\gamma}(\Omega) := \{v \in L^{2}_{\operatorname{loc}}(\Omega) \, | \, w_{\gamma} \, v \in L^{2}(\Omega) \} \, , \, ||v||_{0,\gamma} := ||w_{\gamma} \, v||_{0} . \\ \text{The weight } w_{\gamma} \text{ is a function of the distance } r \text{ to the reentrant edges:} \\ w_{\gamma}(r) = (r/r_{\max})^{\gamma} , \\ \text{with a suitable } \gamma \in]\gamma_{min}, 1[, 0 < \gamma_{min} < \frac{1}{2}, \text{ cf. [Costabel-Dauge'02]}. \\ \text{Scalar product:} \, (u, v)_{\mathcal{X}_{\gamma}} := (\operatorname{curl} u, \operatorname{curl} v)_{0} + (\operatorname{div} u, \operatorname{div} v)_{0,\gamma}. \end{split}$$



One of the two fields can be eliminated...

D Equivalent system: Find (\mathcal{E}, ω) such that

$$(PE) \begin{cases} c^2 \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} \mathcal{E} = \omega^2 \mathcal{E} \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \operatorname{div} \mathcal{E} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega ;\\ \mathcal{E} \times \mathbf{n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Which functional space to measure the electric field?

Third choice:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_{\gamma} &:= \{ \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}_{0}(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega) \, | \, \mathrm{div} \, \mathcal{F} \in L^{2}_{\gamma}(\Omega) \} \, . \\ \left(\begin{array}{c} L^{2}_{\gamma}(\Omega) := \{ v \in L^{2}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega) \, | \, w_{\gamma} \, v \in L^{2}(\Omega) \} \, , \, ||v||_{0,\gamma} := ||w_{\gamma} \, v||_{0} . \\ \mathrm{The \ weight} \, w_{\gamma} \text{ is a function of the distance } r \text{ to the reentrant edges:} \\ w_{\gamma}(r) = (r/r_{\mathrm{max}})^{\gamma} , \\ \mathrm{with \ a \ suitable} \, \gamma \in]\gamma_{min}, 1[, 0 < \gamma_{min} < \frac{1}{2}, \text{ cf. [Costabel-Dauge'02].} \end{aligned}$$

with a suitable $\gamma \in]\gamma_{min}, 1[, 0 < \gamma_{min} < \frac{1}{2}, cf.$ [Costabel-Dauge'02]. Our choice from now on...



Variational Formulations

Set $\lambda = \omega^2/c^2$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\gamma} := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{X}_{\gamma} \mid \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F} = 0\}.$ An equivalent variational formulation of (PE) is Find $(\mathcal{E}, \lambda) \in \mathcal{K}_{\gamma} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}\mathcal{E},\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}\mathcal{F})_0 = \lambda(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})_0, \ \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}_{\gamma}.$$

How can one take into account the divergence-free constraint?
 Costabel and Dauge's choice [Costabel-Dauge'02]: parameterized eigenproblem
 Find (*E_s*, *λ_s*) ∈ *X_γ* × ℝ⁺ such that

$$(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \mathcal{E}_s, \operatorname{\mathbf{curl}} \mathcal{F})_0 + s \, (\operatorname{div} \mathcal{E}_s, \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F})_{0,\gamma} = \lambda_s (\mathcal{E}_s, \mathcal{F})_0 \,\, \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{X}_\gamma,$$

(s > 0 is a parameter.)



Variational Formulations

Set $\lambda = \omega^2/c^2$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\gamma} := \{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{X}_{\gamma} | \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F} = 0\}.$ An equivalent variational formulation of (PE) is Find $(\mathcal{E}, \lambda) \in \mathcal{K}_{\gamma} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$(\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}\mathcal{E},\operatorname{\mathbf{curl}}\mathcal{F})_0 = \lambda(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F})_0, \ \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{K}_{\gamma}.$$

How can one take into account the divergence-free constraint?
Our choice [Jr'05], cf. MAFELAP'03: mixed eigenproblem
Find (\mathcal{E}, p, λ) $\in \mathcal{X}_{\gamma} \times L^2_{\gamma}(\Omega) \times \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})_{\mathcal{X}_{\gamma}} + (p, \operatorname{div} \mathcal{F})_{0,\gamma} = \lambda(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})_{0} \ \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{X}_{\gamma} \\ (q, \operatorname{div} \mathcal{E})_{0,\gamma} = 0, \ \forall q \in L^{2}_{\gamma}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$



Abstract theory

A few spaces, forms, etc.

- V and Q two Hilbert spaces;
- \blacksquare a bilinear, continuous, symmetric, positive, semidefinite form on $V \times V$;
- \bullet b a bilinear, continuous form on $V \times Q$;
- f an element of V'.
- L a third Hilbert space: $V \subset L$, V dense in L, and $L' \equiv L$ (the 'pivot' space).



Abstract theory

A few spaces, forms, etc.

- V and Q two Hilbert spaces;
- \blacksquare a bilinear, continuous, symmetric, positive, semidefinite form on $V \times V$;
- **9** b a bilinear, continuous form on $V \times Q$;
- f an element of V'.
- *L* a third Hilbert space: $V \subset L$, *V* dense in *L*, and $L' \equiv L$ (the 'pivot' space).
- Introduce the mixed problem

$$(MP) \begin{cases} a(u,v) + b(v,p) = \langle f,v \rangle, \ \forall v \in V \\ b(u,q) = 0, \ \forall q \in Q. \end{cases}$$

Consider the operator $T : V' \to V$, with u = Tf.



Abstract theory

A few spaces, forms, etc.

- V and Q two Hilbert spaces;
- **a** a bilinear, continuous, symmetric, positive, semidefinite form on $V \times V$;
- **9** b a bilinear, continuous form on V imes Q;
- f an element of V'.
- L a third Hilbert space: $V \subset L$, V dense in L, and $L' \equiv L$ (the 'pivot' space).
- Introduce the mixed problem

$$(MP) \begin{cases} a(u,v) + b(v,p) = \langle f,v \rangle, \ \forall v \in V \\ b(u,q) = 0, \ \forall q \in Q. \end{cases}$$

Consider the operator $T : V' \to V$, with u = Tf. (Its restriction from *L* to *V* is still denoted by T.)

The eigenproblem to be solved reads Find $(u, \lambda) \in V \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lambda\mathsf{T} u = u$$



Discretization...

- $V_h \subset V$;
- The discrete kernel $\mathbb{K}_h := \{v_h \in V_h : b(v_h, q_h) = 0, \forall q_h \in Q_h\};$
- The discretized eigenproblem reads Find $(u_h, \lambda_h) \in V_h \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

 $\lambda_h \mathsf{T}_h u_h = u_h.$



Discretization...

- $V_h \subset V$;
- $\ \, { \, { \, { \, { \, { \, o } } } } } \ \, Q_h \subset Q \, ;$
- The discrete kernel $\mathbb{K}_h := \{v_h \in V_h : b(v_h, q_h) = 0, \forall q_h \in Q_h\};$
- The discretized eigenproblem reads Find $(u_h, \lambda_h) \in V_h \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lambda_h \mathsf{T}_h u_h = u_h.$$

For T compact and self-adjoint, uniform convergence of T_h to T in $\mathcal{L}(L, V)$ implies convergence of eigenvectors and eigenvalues...

The convergence rate is governed by $r_0(h) := |||T - T_h|||$.



Discretization...

- The discrete kernel $\mathbb{K}_h := \{v_h \in V_h : b(v_h, q_h) = 0, \forall q_h \in Q_h\};$
- The discretized eigenproblem reads Find $(u_h, \lambda_h) \in V_h \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lambda_h \mathsf{T}_h u_h = u_h.$$

- Four requirements [Boffi-Brezzi-Gastaldi'97]:
 - T compact and self-adjoint;



Discretization...

- $V_h \subset V$;
- The discrete kernel $\mathbb{K}_h := \{v_h \in V_h : b(v_h, q_h) = 0, \forall q_h \in Q_h\};$
- The discretized eigenproblem reads Find $(u_h, \lambda_h) \in V_h \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lambda_h \mathsf{T}_h u_h = u_h.$$

- Four requirements [Boffi-Brezzi-Gastaldi'97]:
 - T compact and self-adjoint;
 - a is coercive on the discrete kernel \mathbb{K}_h ;



Discretization...

- $V_h \subset V$;
- The discrete kernel $\mathbb{K}_h := \{v_h \in V_h : b(v_h, q_h) = 0, \forall q_h \in Q_h\};$
- The discretized eigenproblem reads Find $(u_h, \lambda_h) \in V_h \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lambda_h \mathsf{T}_h u_h = u_h.$$

- Four requirements [Boffi-Brezzi-Gastaldi'97]:
 - T compact and self-adjoint;
 - a is coercive on the discrete kernel \mathbb{K}_h ;
 - The Weak Approximability of

 $Q_0 := \{q \in Q : \exists v \in V \text{ s.t. } (v,q) \text{ solution to (MP) for some } f \in L\}.$



Discretization...

- $\ \, { \, { \, { \, { \, { \, o } } } } } \ \, Q_h \subset Q \, ;$
- The discrete kernel $\mathbb{K}_h := \{v_h \in V_h : b(v_h, q_h) = 0, \forall q_h \in Q_h\}$;
- The discretized eigenproblem reads Find $(u_h, \lambda_h) \in V_h \times \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lambda_h \mathsf{T}_h u_h = u_h.$$

- Four requirements [Boffi-Brezzi-Gastaldi'97]:
 - T compact and self-adjoint;
 - a is coercive on the discrete kernel \mathbb{K}_h ;
 - The Weak Approximability of

 $Q_0 := \{q \in Q : \exists v \in V \text{ s.t. } (v,q) \text{ solution to (MP) for some } f \in L\}.$

The Strong Approximability of

 $V_0 := \{ v \in V : \exists q \in Q \text{ s.t. } (v,q) \text{ solution to (MP) for some } f \in L \}.$



[Boffi-Brezzi-Gastaldi'97] continued...

 The Weak Approximability of Q_0 : ∃ r_1 : ℝ⁺ → ℝ⁺, such that $\lim_{h \to 0^+} r_1(h) = 0$ and

$$\sup_{v_h \in \mathbb{K}_h} \frac{b(v_h, q_0)}{\|v_h\|_V} \le r_1(h) \|q_0\|_{Q_0}, \ \forall q_0 \in Q_0.$$



[Boffi-Brezzi-Gastaldi'97] continued...

 The Weak Approximability of Q₀: $\exists r_1 : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, such that lim_{h→0+} r₁(h) = 0 and

$$\sup_{v_h \in \mathbb{K}_h} \frac{b(v_h, q_0)}{\|v_h\|_V} \le r_1(h) \|q_0\|_{Q_0}, \ \forall q_0 \in Q_0.$$

 The Strong Approximability of V₀: ∃r₂ : ℝ⁺ → ℝ⁺, such that lim_{h→0+} r₂(h) = 0 and

$$\forall v_0 \in V_0, \ \exists v^I \in \mathbb{K}_h \text{ s.t. } \|v_0 - v^I\|_V \leq \frac{r_2(h)}{\|v_0\|_{V_0}}.$$



[Boffi-Brezzi-Gastaldi'97] continued...

 The Weak Approximability of Q₀: $\exists r_1 : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, such that lim_{h→0+} r₁(h) = 0 and

$$\sup_{v_h \in \mathbb{K}_h} \frac{b(v_h, q_0)}{\|v_h\|_V} \le r_1(h) \|q_0\|_{Q_0}, \ \forall q_0 \in Q_0.$$

• The Strong Approximability of V_0 : $\exists r_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, such that $\lim_{h \to 0^+} r_2(h) = 0$ and

$$\forall v_0 \in V_0, \ \exists v^I \in \mathbb{K}_h \text{ s.t. } \|v_0 - v^I\|_V \leq \frac{r_2(h)}{\|v_0\|_{V_0}}.$$

Theorem: provided the *four requirements* hold, one has

 $r_0(h) \le C (r_1(h) + r_2(h)).$



In our case...

 $V = \mathcal{X}_{\gamma}$; $Q = L^2_{\gamma}(\Omega)$; $L = L^2(\Omega)^3$; $a(u, v) = (u, v)_{\mathcal{X}_{\gamma}}$; $b(v, q) = (\operatorname{div} v, q)_{0, \gamma}$;



In our case...

- $V = \mathcal{X}_{\gamma}$; $Q = L^2_{\gamma}(\Omega)$; $L = L^2(\Omega)^3$;
- $a(u,v) = (u,v)_{\mathcal{X}_{\gamma}}$; $b(v,q) = (\operatorname{div} v,q)_{0,\gamma}$;
- T is compact and self-adjoint (standard Maxwell operator theory [Weber'80]);
 - *a* is *coercive* by definition;



In our case...

- $V=\mathcal{X}_\gamma$; $Q=L^2_\gamma(\Omega)$; $L=L^2(\Omega)^3$;
- $a(u,v) = (u,v)_{\mathcal{X}_{\gamma}}$; $b(v,q) = (\operatorname{div} v,q)_{0,\gamma}$;
- T is compact and self-adjoint (standard Maxwell operator theory [Weber'80]);
 - a is *coercive* by definition;

Use the classical Taylor-Hood $P_2 - P_1$ Finite Element on tetrahedral meshes...



In our case...

- $V = \mathcal{X}_{\gamma}$; $Q = L^2_{\gamma}(\Omega)$; $L = L^2(\Omega)^3$;
- $a(u,v) = (u,v)_{\mathcal{X}_{\gamma}}$; $b(v,q) = (\operatorname{div} v,q)_{0,\gamma}$;
- T is compact and self-adjoint (standard Maxwell operator theory [Weber'80]);
 - a is *coercive* by definition;

Use the classical Taylor-Hood $P_2 - P_1$ Finite Element on tetrahedral meshes...

```
The Weak Approximability of Q_0 can be achieved, with r_2(h) := C h^{1-\gamma}.
```



In our case...

- $V = \mathcal{X}_{\gamma}$; $Q = L^2_{\gamma}(\Omega)$; $L = L^2(\Omega)^3$;
- $a(u,v) = (u,v)_{\mathcal{X}_{\gamma}}$; $b(v,q) = (\operatorname{div} v,q)_{0,\gamma}$;
- T is compact and self-adjoint (standard Maxwell operator theory [Weber'80]);
 - a is *coercive* by definition;

Use the classical Taylor-Hood $P_2 - P_1$ Finite Element on tetrahedral meshes...

- The Weak Approximability of Q_0 can be achieved, with $r_2(h) := C h^{1-\gamma}$.
- The Strong Approximability of V_0 stems from [Costabel-Dauge'02], $r_1(h) := C_{\varepsilon} h^{\gamma - \gamma_{min} - \varepsilon}$.



In our case...

- $V = \mathcal{X}_{\gamma}$; $Q = L^2_{\gamma}(\Omega)$; $L = L^2(\Omega)^3$;
- $a(u,v) = (u,v)_{\mathcal{X}_{\gamma}}$; $b(v,q) = (\operatorname{div} v,q)_{0,\gamma}$;
- T is compact and self-adjoint (standard Maxwell operator theory [Weber'80]);
 - a is *coercive* by definition;

Use the classical Taylor-Hood $P_2 - P_1$ Finite Element on tetrahedral meshes...

- The Weak Approximability of Q_0 can be achieved, with $r_2(h) := C h^{1-\gamma}$.
- The Strong Approximability of V₀ stems from [Costabel-Dauge'02], $r_1(h) := C_{\varepsilon} h^{\gamma \gamma_{min} \varepsilon}.$

Error estimates can be *improved* with the use of graded meshes.



Numerical experiments

On a 'practical' example, taken from Monique Dauge's benchmark.

- 2D, L-shaped, domain, straight sides, corners in (0,0), (1,0), (1,1), (-1,1), (-1,-1), (0,-1).
- First five eigenvalues (with repetition), up to four digits:
 - $\lambda_1 = 1.476$, eigenmode has the strong unbounded singularity;
 - **9** $\lambda_2 = 3.534$;
 - 𝔅 λ₃ = 9.870;
 - $𝔅 λ_4 = 9.870;$
- **9** The weight is implemented with $\gamma = 0.95$.
- **Experiments**:
 - on uniform meshes;
 - on graded meshes;
 - without any weight on the divergence of the electric field.



Uniform meshes





- **9** 738, 2952 and 11808 triangles;
- **9** 410, 1557 and 6065 vertices;

Results:

mesh	$\lambda_{1,h}$	$\lambda_{2,h}$	$\lambda_{3,h}$	$\lambda_{4,h}$	$\lambda_{5,h}$
uniform1	2.162	3.53 <mark>6</mark>	9.87 <mark>1</mark>	9.87 <mark>1</mark>	11.39
uniform2	2.092	3.53 <mark>5</mark>	9.870	9.870	11.39
uniform3	1. <mark>963</mark>	3.534	9.870	9.870	11.39



Graded meshes



Three meshes (courtesy of Beate Jung) with

- 648, 2664 and 10728 triangles;
- **9** 362, 1410 and 5522 vertices;



mesh	$\lambda_{1,h}$	$\lambda_{2,h}$	$\lambda_{3,h}$	$\lambda_{4,h}$	$\lambda_{5,h}$
graded1	1.742	3.534	9.87 <mark>2</mark>	9.87 <mark>2</mark>	11.39
graded2	1.484	3.534	9.764	9.870	11.39
graded3	1.478	3.534	9.8 <mark>01</mark>	9.870	11.39



No weight

No weight ($\gamma = 0$): the electric field is measured with the usual L^2 -norm for its divergence.

- No Singular Complement.
- Same three graded meshes...



mesh	$\lambda_{1,h}$	$\lambda_{2,h}$	$\lambda_{3,h}$	$\lambda_{4,h}$	$\lambda_{5,h}$
graded1	3.5 <mark>53</mark>	6.073	9.87 <mark>2</mark>	9.87 <mark>2</mark>	11.40
graded2	3.53 <mark>5</mark>	6.068	9.870	9.870	11.39
graded3	3.534	6.071	9.870	9.870	11.39



No weight

No weight ($\gamma = 0$): the electric field is measured with the usual L^2 -norm for its divergence.

- No Singular Complement.
- Same three graded meshes...

Results:

mesh	$\lambda_{1,h}$	$\lambda_{2,h}$	$\lambda_{3,h}$	$\lambda_{4,h}$	$\lambda_{5,h}$
graded1	3.5 <mark>53</mark>	6.073	9.87 <mark>2</mark>	9.87 <mark>2</mark>	11.40
graded2	3.53 <mark>5</mark>	6.068	9.870	9.870	11.39
graded3	3.534	6.071	9.870	9.870	11.39





No weight

No weight ($\gamma = 0$): the electric field is measured with the usual L^2 -norm for its divergence.

- No Singular Complement.
- Same three graded meshes...

Results:

mesh	$\lambda_{1,h}$	$\lambda_{2,h}$	$\lambda_{3,h}$	$\lambda_{4,h}$	$\lambda_{5,h}$
graded1	3.5 <mark>53</mark>	6.073	9.87 <mark>2</mark>	9.87 <mark>2</mark>	11.40
graded2	3.53 <mark>5</mark>	6.068	9.870	9.870	11.39
graded3	3.534	6.071	9.870	9.870	11.39

- Only the 'smooth' eigenmodes are captured numerically, as expected!
- One solves the mixed eigenproblem in $\mathcal{X} \cap H^1(\Omega)^3 \times L^2(\Omega)$.

New eigenmodes appear...)



- One can compute numerically EM eigenmodes:
 - eigenproblem expressed as a *mixed* Variational Formulation,
 - *I* discretized with *continuous* Galerkin methods.
- The mixed form is much simpler to solve numerically than the parameterized form.



- One can compute numerically EM eigenmodes:
 - eigenproblem expressed as a *mixed* Variational Formulation,
 - *I* discretized with *continuous* Galerkin methods.
- The mixed form is much simpler to solve numerically than the parameterized form.
- In 2D or 2D1/2 cartesian or axisymmetric geometries, use of SCM-like methods is ok.



- One can compute numerically EM eigenmodes:
 - eigenproblem expressed as a *mixed* Variational Formulation,
 - discretized with continuous Galerkin methods.
- The mixed form is much simpler to solve numerically than the parameterized form.
- In 2D or 2D1/2 cartesian or axisymmetric geometries, use of SCM-like methods is ok.
- Eigenproblems set in 3D geometries (ongoing project with Grace Hechme):
 - Extruded L-shaped domain;
 - Fichera corner;
 - More realistic geometries...



- One can compute numerically EM eigenmodes:
 - eigenproblem expressed as a *mixed* Variational Formulation,
 - discretized with continuous Galerkin methods.
- The mixed form is much simpler to solve numerically than the parameterized form.
- In 2D or 2D1/2 cartesian or axisymmetric geometries, use of SCM-like methods is ok.
- Eigenproblems set in 3D geometries (ongoing project with Grace Hechme):
 - Extruded L-shaped domain;
 - Fichera corner;
 - More realistic geometries...
- Other uses of the mixed VF and continuous Galerkin methods:
 - Time-dependent Maxwell equations ([Jamelot'05], [Jr-Jamelot'06]);
 - Vlasov-Maxwell system of equations.

